

QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REVIEW

U.S. Quality Strategy

Performance returns (USD)

ANNUALIZED RETURNS (QUARTER-END)	Quarter-End	YTD	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year	Since Inception
U.S. Quality Strategy (net)	11.67	11.67	–	–	–	–	21.40
U.S. Quality Strategy (gross)	11.77	11.77	–	–	–	–	21.74
S&P 500	10.56	10.56	–	–	–	–	19.44
Value Add	+1.11	+1.11	–	–	–	–	+1.96

Major Performance Drivers

The GMO U.S. Quality portfolio had a positive return for the quarter and outperformed the S&P 500 index.

There was a wide gap between winners and losers in equity markets this quarter. This was evident across sectors, with a 15% return differential between the strongest, Communications, and the weakest, Real Estate. From a geographical perspective, the U.S. outperformed the weakest major market, Hong Kong, by 20 percentage points. And almost unimaginably, there was a 44% performance gap between the subgroups of the GICS Technology sector, with Semi-conductors in vogue while Tech Hardware decidedly was not.

The last few years have proved to be challenging for active managers with market capitalization increasingly concentrated in a few large cap tech companies – initially the FANGS, then the FAANGs, the FAANGMs, and for now The Magnificent 7[1]. Our GMO colleagues Ben Inker and John Pease explained the challenge of maintaining portfolio active share in a concentrated market in their February quarterly[2]. We believe that our benchmark agnosticism is helpful in these markets. For many years now we have had about a fifth of our portfolio in the biggest tech names but at no point have we obsessed about the aggregate or benchmark weights in these names. Instead, we focus on individual stock weights and overall portfolio diversification. Our sizing approach is straightforward; we are guided by a sliding scale related to valuation, quality, and liquidity. The actual weight combines the sliding scale and some practical judgment. At times that has had the strategy overweight the very largest companies, even relative to their weight in the S&P 500, and at other times underweight. We believe that if we can get the quality right for the portfolio, without overpaying, returns will take care of themselves.

This quarter was 2023 redux in markets; the true driving force was artificial Intelligence and particularly Nvidia, and not the work of an acronym[3]. Nvidia's stock rose 82% but there were other ways to gain exposure, even if you don't fancy your chances with Nvidia; Super Micro was up 255%! More materially to your portfolio, there was broad strength in the AI supply chain, with holdings KLA and Lam Research performing well. At the same time, certain customers of Nvidia's were going great guns too. Meta has worked hard in recent years to press home its scale advantage in AI-targeted advertising, while Oracle is seeing explosive growth in the use of its cloud infrastructure to run AI workloads. All of these companies share drivers with Nvidia and Super Micro, but not their high multiples. For Nvidia, while the company's competitive advantage is manifest at this point, we believe that the stock price requires sustained 30% earnings growth rates, leaving little room for anything other than perfect execution. They may achieve that of course (earnings per share grew at 26% p.a. for the decade to 2023), but we are uncomfortable underwriting it at this point. Not owning Nvidia' stock was an opportunity cost in terms of potential returns but one that was largely mitigated by other, more attractively priced AI beneficiaries.

Composite Inception Date: 30-Jun-23

Performance for the year of inception is less than a full calendar year. Returns shown for periods less than one year are not annualized.

Risks: Risks associated with investing in the Fund may include Investment Risk, Market Risk - Equities, Management and Operational Risk, Focused Investment Risk, and Currency Risk. For a more complete discussion of these risks and others, please consult the Fund's prospectus. Returns shown for periods greater than one year are on an annualized basis. To obtain performance information to the most recent month-end, visit www.gmo.com. **Performance Returns: Performance data quoted represents past performance and is not predictive of future performance.** Net returns are presented after the deduction of a model advisory fee and incentive fee if applicable. These returns include transaction costs, commissions and withholding taxes on foreign income and capital gains and include the reinvestment of dividends and other income, as applicable. Fees paid by accounts within the composite may be higher or lower than the model fees used. **GMO LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®).** A **Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) Composite Report** is available on GMO.com by clicking the **GIPS® Composite Report** link in the documents section of the strategy page. **GIPS® is a registered trademark owned by CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. Actual fees are disclosed in Part 2 of GMO's Form ADV and are also available in each strategy's Composite Report.** The portfolio is not managed relative to a benchmark. References to an index are for informational purposes only. The local market in which some accounts in the composite are priced was closed for Good Friday on March 29, 2024. Therefore, the performance for the strategy and corresponding benchmark will utilize March 28 for purposes of the ending valuation for the March return and the starting valuation for the April return. Gross returns are presented gross of management fees and any incentive fees if applicable. These returns include transaction costs, commissions, withholding taxes on foreign income and capital gains and include the reinvestment of dividends and other income, as applicable. If management and incentive fees were deducted performance would be lower. For example, if, before fees, the strategy were to achieve a 10% annual rate of return above its hurdle rate each year for ten years, and an annual advisory fee of 1% and incentive fee of 20% of net returns above the hurdle rate were charged during that period, the resulting average annual net return (after the deduction of management and incentive fees) would be approximately 7.20%. The portfolio is not managed relative to a benchmark. References to an index are for informational purposes only.

QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REVIEW

Major Performance Drivers Cont.

Despite not owning Nvidia or Super Micro, the Quality Strategy did own 3 of the top 10 performers this quarter; Meta, GE, and Eli Lilly. Each could be categorized as winning for different reasons. Eli Lilly continues to reap the benefits of its innovation around GLP-1 treatments for obesity, i.e., for self-generated, organic reasons. Meta is perhaps coming to the end of its purdah after being perceived as profligate with investment, possibly unfairly, in 2022. As if to underline CEO Zuckerberg's seriousness about capital allocation, Meta appointed Hock Tan, CEO of Broadcom and so far an outstanding capital allocator, to its board in the quarter – a positive sign in our view. GE largely completed its long-term transformation from industrial conglomerate to jet engine specialist by spinning out its Vernova power business. We believe that the jet engine joint venture between GE and Safran (also held, also contributing strongly to returns this quarter) is likely to propel earnings for years to come.

We took the opportunity to add to Apple on weakness in the quarter – we believe that the strength of the company's installed base continues to provide a competitive advantage despite challenges in China and continued scrutiny from regulators. More generally, we continued to trade from growthier names into the core quality and quality value part of your portfolio. Should the cross-sectional volatility evident in this quarter's markets prove a harbinger of trouble, the strategy's lower beta – around 0.8 for the quarter – may provide some reassurance.

Portfolio weights, as a percentage of equity, for the securities mentioned were: Nvidia (0.0%), Super Micro (0.0%), KLA (3.6%), Lam Research (3.6%), Oracle (4.2%), Meta (4.4%), GE (3.6%), Safran (0.0%) Eli Lilly (2.7%), Vernova (0.0%), UnitedHealth (4.0%) Apple (3.5%).

[1] We note that each new acronym bakes in an updated round of hindsight bias so the performance effects are perhaps overstated in terms of practical usefulness.

[2] Magnificently Concentrated by Ben Inker and John Pease, available on www.gmo.com.

[3] The Magnificent 7 have been instrumental in or are likely to benefit from advances in AI of course. They have other commonalities too. For example they are global companies with exposure to the world economy, with geo-political benefits and risks associated with their shared U.S. domicile. Because of their fortress-like competitive advantages, which have led to their dominant market positions, they have tended to attract more regulatory scrutiny than the average business. Nevertheless they don't necessarily win or fail together – this quarter both Apple and Tesla fell in rising markets.

PRODUCT OVERVIEW

The GMO U.S. Quality Strategy seeks to generate total return by investing primarily in U.S. equities the Focused Equity team believes to be of high quality. The team believes that companies with established track records of historical profitability and strong fundamentals – high quality companies – are able to outgrow the average company over time and are therefore worth a premium price. The Strategy's disciplined approach uses both quantitative and fundamental techniques to assess the relative quality and valuation of global companies and aims to exploit a long-term investment horizon while withstanding short-term volatility.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Comparator Index(es): The S&P 500 Index is an independently maintained and widely published index comprised of U.S. large capitalization stocks. S&P does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, completeness or availability of any data or information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions from the use of such data or information. Reproduction of the data or information in any form is prohibited except with the prior written permission of S&P or its third party licensors.

The above information is based on a representative account in the Strategy selected because it has the fewest restrictions and best represents the implementation of the Strategy.

ABOUT GMO

Founded in 1977, GMO is a global asset manager committed to delivering superior performance and advice to our clients. We are privately owned, which allows us to singularly focus on our sole business – achieving outstanding long-term client investment outcomes. Offering multi-asset, equity, fixed income, and alternative strategies, we invest with a long-term, valuation-based philosophical approach.

AMSTERDAM

BOSTON

LONDON

SAN FRANCISCO*

SINGAPORE

SYDNEY

TOKYO**

*GMO's West Coast Hub is comprised of members of Investment, Global Client Relations, and other teams located in and around the Greater San Francisco area

**Representative Office

www.GMO.com