

QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REVIEW

Resources Strategy

Performance returns (USD)

| ANNUALIZED RETURNS (QUARTER-END) | Quarter-End | YTD | 1-Year | 3-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year | Since Inception |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| Resources Strategy (net) | -4.35 | -4.35 | -3.85 | 1.09 | 8.72 | 6.53 | 6.53 |
| Resources Strategy (gross) | -4.18 | -4.18 | -3.15 | 1.81 | 9.49 | 7.26 | 7.28 |
| MSCI ACWI Commodity Producers | 4.13 | 4.13 | 9.29 | 13.72 | 7.94 | 3.38 | 3.20 |
| Value Add | -8.49 | -8.49 | -13.14 | -12.63 | +0.78 | +3.15 | +3.34 |

Major Performance Drivers

The first quarter of 2024 saw a stark reversal in sentiment around the path of rate cuts in the U.S. Renewed skepticism on the size and speed of rate cuts was particularly dominant in January and saw those sectors with a perceived high delta to the rate cycle fall significantly, in many cases reversing gains from the previous quarter. The Wilderhill Clean Energy index was down over 20%. However broad global equity indices shrugged off this concern, continuing to be buoyed by large cap tech and rising commodity prices in some areas, including oil and copper. OPEC+ production cuts and continued tensions in the Middle East ensured crude oil made significant gains over the quarter. Against this backdrop, the Resources portfolio was down for the quarter, underperforming the MSCI Commodity Producers index, which was up.

Our Energy segment, at just over half of the portfolio, detracted as both our Fossil Fuel and Clean Energy portfolios faced challenges. We added to our Fossil Fuel positions over the quarter but remain materially underweight versus benchmark. Security selection with the Fossil Fuel portfolio was also a drag as Kosmos, one of our highest conviction names and a strong long-term contributor to returns (~1.4% alpha added since 2020), fell on news of a delayed production. Clean Energy was hit by renewed skepticism on the likelihood and speed of interest rate cuts; SunRun was one of our top detractors. The long-term potential for this sector is broadly underpriced, we believe, as renewable deployment increases year-on-year, cyclical pressures like inventory gluts work through, and subsidies from governments around the world begin to flow. Yet for many of the higher quality Clean Energy companies that we hold, current valuations reflect a low to negative growth in future earnings. The current valuation opportunity has echoes of previous dislocations in other resource markets (Oil in 2020 and Metals in 2016); history shows us that investors with patience and willingness to accept volatility will reap significant rewards.

Our Industrial Metals segment was also down for the quarter, due primarily to falling prices in Metals markets like iron ore and lithium whilst being overweight the sector versus benchmark. The Diversified Miners were hit primarily by lower iron ore prices and our holding in Vale/Bradespar was the biggest detractor over the quarter albeit one with a fair value likely to be triple that of its current share price. Within the segment, copper producers were the standout performers, having benefited from the unexpected supply reduction from First Quantum, Anglo American, and others that we highlighted last quarter. Ivanhoe Mines was our best relative performer for the quarter. We have a long-held allocation to copper producers, seeing it as a key energy transition metal, and the current pinch point is a reminder of how resource scarcity can drive prices higher quickly (and directly affect clean economy supply chains). Conversely our allocation to Lithium, an overweight versus benchmark, dragged on performance as lithium prices continued to correct following inventory gluts along the battery supply chain. Despite much talk of a slowdown in electric vehicle uptake, lithium is still a volatile and maturing market such that many expect lithium demand to significantly outstrip supply over the coming years; we continue to find lithium producers available at attractive valuations.

The long-term supply/demand dynamics in natural resource markets favor high and rising prices. But the deeply discounted valuations still available in some parts of this sector mean that investors don't need commodity prices to rise in order to expect strong returns. Flat commodity prices could still lead to a healthy return from a resource equity portfolio. In addition, valuations within the Clean Energy sector are now increasingly attractive.

Portfolio weights, as a percent of equity, for the positions mentioned were: Kosmos (5.2%), Ivanhoe (4.4%), SunRun (2.8%), Vale (1.7%), Bradespar (3.3%).

Composite Inception Date: 31-Dec-11

Performance for the year of inception is less than a full calendar year. Returns shown for periods less than one year are not annualized.

Risks: Risks associated with investing in the Strategy may include Focused Investment Risk, Commodities Risk, Market Risk - Equities, Management and Operational Risk, and Non-U.S. Investment Risk. Returns shown for periods greater than one year are on an annualized basis. To obtain performance information to the most recent month-end, visit www.gmo.com. **Performance Returns: Performance data quoted represents past performance and is not predictive of future performance.** Net returns are presented after the deduction of a model advisory fee and incentive fee if applicable. These returns include transaction costs, commissions and withholding taxes on foreign income and capital gains and include the reinvestment of dividends and other income, as applicable. Fees paid by accounts within the composite may be higher or lower than the model fees used. **GMO LLC claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®).** A Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) Composite Report is available on GMO.com by clicking the GIPS® Composite Report link in the documents section of the strategy page. GIPS® is a registered trademark owned by CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. Actual fees are disclosed in Part 2 of GMO's Form ADV and are also available in each strategy's Composite Report. The portfolio is not managed relative to a benchmark. References to an index are for informational purposes only. The local market in which some accounts in the composite are priced was closed for Good Friday on March 29, 2024. Therefore, the performance for the strategy and corresponding benchmark will utilize March 28 for purposes of the ending valuation for the March return and the starting valuation for the April return. Gross returns are presented gross of management fees and any incentive fees if applicable. These returns include transaction costs, commissions, withholding taxes on foreign income and capital gains and include the reinvestment of dividends and other income, as applicable. If management and incentive fees were deducted performance would be lower. For example, if, before fees, the strategy were to achieve a 10% annual rate of return above its hurdle rate each year for ten years, and an annual advisory fee of 1% and incentive fee of 20% of net returns above the hurdle rate were charged during that period, the resulting average annual net return (after the deduction of management and incentive fees) would be approximately 7.20%. The portfolio is not managed relative to a benchmark. References to an index are for informational purposes only.

QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REVIEW

PRODUCT OVERVIEW

The GMO Resources Strategy seeks to generate total return by investing in equities in the natural resources sector. The Strategy's benchmark is the MSCI All Country World Commodities Index.

GMO's Focused Equity team believes that the increasing demand for natural resources, driven primarily by population growth in and industrialization of emerging markets, coupled with the limited supply of these resources, favors an upward trend in resource prices over time. The Strategy seeks to invest in the securities of companies that we believe will benefit from this expected long-term rise in natural resource prices.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Comparator Index(es): The MSCI ACWI (All Country World) Commodity Producers Index (MSCI Standard Index Series, net of withholding tax) is an independently maintained and widely published index comprised of listed large and mid capitalization commodity producers within the global developed and emerging markets. MSCI data may not be reproduced or used for any other purpose. MSCI provides no warranties, has not prepared or approved this report, and has no liability hereunder.

The above information is based on a representative account in the Strategy selected because it has the fewest restrictions and best represents the implementation of the Strategy.

ABOUT GMO

Founded in 1977, GMO is a global asset manager committed to delivering superior performance and advice to our clients. We are privately owned, which allows us to singularly focus on our sole business – achieving outstanding long-term client investment outcomes. Offering multi-asset, equity, fixed income, and alternative strategies, we invest with a long-term, valuation-based philosophical approach.

AMSTERDAM

BOSTON

LONDON

SAN FRANCISCO*

SINGAPORE

SYDNEY

TOKYO**

*GMO's West Coast Hub is comprised of members of Investment, Global Client Relations, and other teams located in and around the Greater San Francisco area

**Representative Office

www.GMO.com